The Capital Prudential Indicators 2010/11 – 2012/13

The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to adopt the CIPFA Prudential Code and produce prudential indicators. Each indicator either summarises the expected capital activity or introduces limits upon that activity, and reflects the outcome of the Council's underlying capital appraisal systems. This report updates currently approved indicators and introduces new indicators for 2012/13.

Within this overall prudential framework there is an impact on the Council's treasury management activity – as it will directly impact on borrowing or investment activity and as such the Treasury Management Strategy for 2010/11 to 2012/13 complements these indicators. Some of the prudential indicators are shown in the treasury management strategy to aid understanding.

The Capital Expenditure Plans

The Council's capital expenditure plans are summarised below and this forms the first of the prudential indicators. A certain level of capital expenditure is grant supported by the Government; any decisions by the Council to spend above this level will be considered unsupported capital expenditure. This unsupported capital expenditure needs to have regard to:

- Service objectives (e.g. strategic planning);
- Stewardship of assets (e.g. asset management planning);
- Value for money (e.g. option appraisal);
- Prudence and sustainability (e.g. implications for external borrowing and whole life costing);
- Affordability (e.g. implications for the council tax);
- Practicality (e.g. the achievability of the forward plan).

The revenue consequences of capital expenditure, particularly the unsupported capital expenditure, will need to be paid for from the Council's own resources.

This capital expenditure can be paid for immediately (by applying capital resources such as capital receipts, capital grants etc., or revenue resources), but if these resources are insufficient any residual capital expenditure will add to the Council's borrowing need.

The key risks to the plans are that the level of Government support has been estimated and is therefore maybe subject to change. Similarly some estimates for other sources of funding, such as capital receipts, may also be subject to change over this timescale. For instance anticipated asset sales may be postponed due to the impact of the recession on the property market, similarly the proceeds from the Right-to-Buy sharing agreement with Bracknell Forest Homes will also be impacted on by the wider economy.

The Council is asked to approve the summary capital expenditure projections below. This forms the first prudential indicator:

Capital Expenditure	2009/10 Original	2009/10	2010/11 Estimate	2011/12 Estimate	2012/13 Estimate
£m	Original	Revised	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Capital Expenditure	50,326	49,851	36,098	19,213	16,054
Financed by:					
Capital receipts	3,000	1,800	3,000	3,000	3,000
Capital grants	40,651	40,651	24,649	6,832	3,928
Capital reserves	0	0	0	0	0
Revenue	0	0	0	0	0
Net financing need	6,675	7,400	8,449	9,381	9,126
for the year					

The Council's Borrowing Need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's underlying borrowing need. The capital expenditure above which has not immediately been paid for will increase the CFR.

The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year through a revenue charge (the Minimum Revenue Provision - MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments (VRP).

The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections below:

£m	2009/10 Original	2009/10 Revised	2010/11 Estimate	2011/12 Estimate	2012/13 Estimate	
Capital Financing Requirement						
Total CFR	7,184	9,118	17,202	25,395	33,505	
Movement in CFR	6,654	7,328	8,084	8,193	8,110	

Movement in CFR represented by					
Net financing need	6,675	7,400	8,449	9,381	9,126
for the year (above)					
Less MRP/VRP and	21	72	365	688	1,016
other financing					
movements					
Movement in CFR	6,654	7,328	8,084	8,193	8,110

CLG Regulations have been issued which require full Council to approve an MRP Statement in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided to councils to replace the existing Regulations, so long as there is a prudent provision. The Council is recommended to approve the MRP Statement attached in Annex E(ii)

Affordability Prudential Indicators

The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances. The Council is asked to approve the following indicators:

Actual and Estimates of the ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream.

This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing and other long term obligation costs net of investment income) against the net revenue stream.

%	2009/10	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
	Original	Revised	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Ratio	-1.81%	-1.36%	-0.89%	-0.65%	-0.42%

The estimates of financing costs include current commitments and the proposals in the Capital Programme Budget report.

Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the Council Tax

This indicator identifies the revenue costs associated with proposed changes to the three year capital programme compared to the Council's existing approved commitments and current plans. The assumptions are based on the budget, but will invariably include some estimates, such as the level of Government support, which are not published over a three year period.

£	Original 2009/10	Proposed Budget 2009/10	Forward Projection 2010/11	Forward Projection 2011/12	Forward Projection 2012/13
Council Tax - Band D	3.08	3.31	3.87	4.07	4.18